

# Shunning online services, some churches preach 'abstinence' from gathered worship

by [Adelle M. Banks](#) in the [May 6, 2020](#) issue



(Photo by Maksym Zakharyak on Unsplash)

For weeks, churches have been rushing to use the internet in new ways, live streaming and video conferencing to keep the faithful together for weekend worship.

But when some political and health officials said coronavirus-related social distancing rules applied to religious gatherings, at least a handful of churches across the country intentionally decided not to worship online on recent Sundays.

Liberty Baptist Church in Missouri is one of them.

Even on Easter Sunday—and for at least weeks beyond it—Pastor Nathan Rose said his congregation’s leaders have decided not to attempt online worship as a replacement for their traditional services.

“We do not think that live streaming a worship service is inherently wrong or sinful,” he said in an email to Religion News Service. “However, we do not want to unintentionally communicate that an online service is the same as—or even like—worshiping with the gathered body in person. In other words, we don’t believe that a live stream is a real, actual substitute for a church gathering.”

Rose joins pastors across the country who have made similar decisions.

He said he views “this season of separation as a time of longing and lament,” even as his congregants cautiously avoid devising a substitute.

“During this time of social distancing, we think it is appropriate to mourn and long for worshiping our great God together in the presence of our brothers and sisters,” Rose said.

In Washington, D.C., Capitol Hill Baptist Church made a similar decision.

“Let us use any absence of gathering as an exceptional time under the hand of God, to reflect on our life, our church, our community, to pray for the sick and medical professionals, to offer whatever help we can, and to rest,” its pastor, Mark Dever, wrote in a March 12 letter posted on the church’s Twitter account.

Dever, who could not be reached for comment, posted a link to a blog post featuring Rose’s reasoning and tweeted, “A church after my own heart!”

An inquiring pastor asked Dever on Twitter, “Why not live-stream messages for the edification of the saints at this trying time?”

He responded, “Because a video of a sermon is not a substitute for a covenanted congregation assembling together and all the various means of God’s grace in that. I think it would be healthier to respect God’s strange providence in a period of abstinence from meeting together.”

Wade Burleson, a blogger and Oklahoma pastor whose church plans to meet on Easter via social media and its website, posited that people favoring Dever’s point of view are exercising an “unbiblical doctrine of pastoral authority.”

“Baptists need to get back to being baptistic,” he wrote in a March 29 blog post. “Every believer is a priest.”

But Tom Ascol, president of Founders Ministries, a neo-Calvinist evangelical group with mostly Southern Baptist members, differs with Burleson.

“I don’t think this has anything to do with pastoral authority; I think this has everything to do with the nature of *ecclesia*,” he said, referring to the Greek word used in the New Testament. “We don’t get to define what the church is. The Lord Jesus has done that.”

Ascol, whose Cape Coral, Florida, congregation did not meet for two weeks in March, said churches that refrain from online worship services are following the biblical definition of *ecclesia*, which he said means “the called-out ones” or “gathered in an assembly.”

Adding to the social media debate on the issue, Ascol tweeted, “You can no more go to church online than you can eat dinner at a restaurant online.”

A week later he added, “How long before ‘worship with your church online’ becomes ‘worship with your church on demand’?” —Religion News Service