Does school choice create better choices?

We've had decades of experiments with charter schools and vouchers. There are no resounding success stories.

From the Editors in the April 12, 2017 issue



Some rights reserved by johngirton.me.

The movement for school choice has a champion in the White House and in education secretary Betsy DeVos. President Trump wants to spend \$20 billion on expanding charter schools and voucher programs to provide alternatives to what he deems a failed public system. DeVos has spent her career—and much of her own money—trying to redirect tax dollars from public schools into privately managed charter schools or tuition vouchers that can be used at private institutions. DeVos prizes the private education she received at Christian Reformed schools and wants others to benefit from a similar experience.

Charters and vouchers siphon money from public schools.

Yet several decades of experiment with charters and vouchers have not produced resounding success stories. The record of charter schools in DeVos's home state of Michigan offers a cautionary tale, one that has been repeated in other states. An investigation by the *Detroit Free Press* found that Michigan taxpayers poured nearly \$1 billion a year into charter schools with no oversight over how the money was spent or who was doing the teaching at those schools. The *Free Press* found instances of financial fraud and of schools being allowed to operate for years despite a poor record of achievement. Charter schools gave Michigan students more choices, but their choices were generally no better than the ones they had before.

Nor have vouchers lived up to their promise. In Milwaukee, for example, home of the nation's longest-running experiment with a voucher program, academic performance in voucher-funded private schools is generally about the same as in public schools. Studies of programs in Ohio and Louisiana found that students using vouchers actually did worse than public school students.

None of this is to say there are no outstanding charter schools or voucher-funded private schools. And there are states, such as Massachusetts, and cities, such as Washington, D.C., where parents say that a tightly regulated system of charter schools has enriched opportunities alongside the public system.

But nowhere is there demonstrative evidence that the mechanisms of market choice and privatization have improved education overall. Their downside, however, is quite clear: wherever charters and vouchers operate, they siphon money from the public school systems which are charged with educating every student, regardless of physical or mental ability, income, or parental involvement.

The schools that have demonstrated real reform in recent years are ones that have focused over the long haul on the unglamorous tasks of setting high goals, finding and supporting excellent principals, continually supporting and training teachers, and staying connected to parents and community. Supporting public schools in that hard work is the best focus for government dollars.

A version of this article appears in the April 12 print edition under the title "Does school choice help?"