## Abuse victims divided on pope's offer to meet

## by David Gibson in the June 25, 2014 issue

Pope Francis's recent announcement that he would meet with victims of sexual abuse by priests is dividing victim advocates, with some dismissing the move as "meaningless" and others endorsing it as a positive step, albeit taken belatedly and under pressure.

"A welcome and overdue change," commented Anne Barrett Doyle of <u>BishopAccountability.org</u>, who has been pushing the Catholic Church to overhaul its policies and practices regarding abusive clergy.

"Good to hear Pope Francis speak out and meet survivors," tweeted Marie Collins, an abuse victim whom Francis named to a Vatican commission to promote reforms, on hearing that the pope compared abuse by clergy to a priest celebrating a black mass.

But others said Francis's first-ever encounter with victims—and his pledge for "zero tolerance" for abusive clerics of any rank—was simply stagecraft aimed at distracting the public from what they say are the pope's larger failures to address the abuse crisis.

"His upcoming and self-serving meeting with victims is more of what we've seen for decades—more gestures, promises, symbolism, and public relations," Joelle Casteix of the Survivors Network of those Abused by Priests said in a statement shortly after Francis announced the meeting during an in-flight press conference May 26 on his return from a visit to the Holy Land.

Francis initially said that the meeting would take place in early June, but Vatican officials later said it's not clear when it will take place, though it is expected to happen in the next few months.

In an interview the day after Francis's announcement, SNAP's David Clohessy reiterated his view that the meeting will actually hurt efforts to force the church to reform because it will be all window dressing. "I would challenge anyone to point to a single tangible sign of progress that has emerged from any of these meetings," Clohessy said, citing Pope Benedict XVI's various encounters with victims as well as other meetings between victims and church leaders.

Clohessy said he would not try to stop victims who might be invited by Rome from joining the meeting at the Vatican, but he said they should be prepared to feel betrayed by the church once again.

Bernie McDaid, the founder of Survivors Voice, seemed to echo that view. McDaid was one of four victims of abuse by clergy who met with Benedict in Washington in 2008; he called that meeting "weird" and recently told the Associated Press he thinks the meeting with Francis will be a "dog-and-pony show."

"I believe it's always going to be church first, children second," said McDaid, who has not been invited.

Are these contrasting responses simply differing tactics that can lead to the same end—justice for victims and reforms in the church—or do they represent deeper divisions that could undermine the cause just as it could be chalking up its biggest victories?

"We are not at all uncomfortable with being the voice that says, 'Slow down, think it through,'" Clohessy said. "No, I don't think it's a problem."

But Thomas Doyle, a priest and canon lawyer who has been one of the most outspoken critics of the church's record on abuse, said that while he understands the hesitancy of SNAP and many victims, he is "somewhat hopeful" that things are changing under Francis, even though Doyle harbors no illusions about the institutional church.

"It's worth the risk [to meet church leaders such as the pope] because you never know when something is going to change," said Doyle, who has counseled many victims.

"I've seen enough difference in the present pope to think that possibly he's thinking for himself and will get beyond the other input he's getting [from Vatican insiders] and will possibly do something constructive." —RNS

This article was edited June 10, 2014.