Pentagon debunks reports of anti-Christian policies

by David Gibson with Adelle M. Banks contributing

This article appears in the May 29, 2013 issue.

Christian conservatives became alarmed recently over reports and rumors that the Pentagon was considering new policies aimed at discriminating against Christians and disciplining or even court-martialing Christians who share their faith. The Department of Defense on May 2 sought to debunk the speculation, saying that while aggressive proselytizing is barred, evangelization is still permitted and the rights of all believers—and nonbelievers—will be protected.

"The U.S. Department of Defense has never and will never single out a particular religious group for persecution or prosecution," Pentagon spokesman Lt. Cmdr. Nate Christensen said in a statement. "The Department makes reasonable accommodations for all religions and celebrates the religious diversity of our service members."

"Service members can share their faith (evangelize), but must not force unwanted, intrusive attempts to convert others of any faith or no faith to one's beliefs (proselytization)," Christensen added.

He also said that "when religious harassment complaints are reported, commanders take action based on the gravity of the occurrence on a case by case basis." He did not specify what the range of penalties could be.

The latest statement was aimed at refuting widely circulated reports in conservative media outlets that Christian soldiers could be court-martialed for sharing their faith.

Whether the official response will be successful in dispelling suspicions, even within the ranks, is uncertain. Even as Christensen released his statement, Rear Adm. William D. Lee of the U.S. Coast Guard warned of threats to faith within the military while speaking at National Day of Prayer observances on Capitol Hill.

"I am not talking about proselytizing; I am vehemently against that," the admiral said in remarks that drew a standing ovation. "I'm talking about gently whispering the gospel." The current controversy seems to have originated with Fox News contributor Todd Starnes, who on May 2 wrote about a Pentagon meeting on harassment and tolerance issues; among the attendees was the head of the Military Religious Freedom Foundation, Mikey Weinstein.

Weinstein is known for his inflammatory rhetoric about religious believers and Christians in particular. He told the *Washington Post* after the April 23 meeting that proselytizing in the military is akin to "spiritual rape," among other things.

The Fox News report on Weinstein's remarks also cited a statement from Christensen, the Pentagon spokesman, who reiterated the preexisting policy against proselytizing. But the Starnes piece went on to claim that the policy also applied to evangelizing, or sharing the gospel. In addition, the story highlighted court-martial as a possible penalty.

Outlets like Breitbart.com then amplified the reports with headlines such as "Pentagon May Court Martial Soldiers Who Share Christian Faith." Then the Family Research Council launched a petition drive—which had more than 100,000 signatures by May 2—to urge the Pentagon "to scrub plans to court-martial Christians."

This latest dustup did not occur in a vacuum. In recent years the U.S. military has become a battleground in the culture wars as the growing pluralism of the armed forces, along with increasing assertiveness of both Christian and secular activists, has led the Pentagon to clarify and develop policies of neutrality.

Those policies often do not sit well with some Christians, and the Obama administration's 2011 decision to end the military's Don't Ask, Don't Tell policy on gay service personnel ramped up fears still further.

Then in early April it was reported that during a U.S. Army Reserve presentation, an outside contractor had included Catholics and evangelicals in a PowerPoint slide listing possible "extremists." While the Army removed the offending slide, the incident was reported as another example of anti-Christian bias in the military.

Just three weeks later, when some soldiers and chaplains complained that they were blocked from logging onto the website of the Southern Baptist Convention, Christian conservatives accused the military of targeting evangelicals for censorship.

Even after it turned out that the problem lay with a glitch in the SBC's own website, the Family Research Council and others still insisted the incident revealed a troubling pattern of military antipathy to Christians—a charge that critics said was akin to crying wolf.

"Conservatives are supposed to stand for truth against relativism. But that seems not to be the play in this case," wrote RealClearReligion columnist Jeffrey Weiss. "Truth that doesn't fit a predetermined narrative is stood on its head and square-peg-crammed into a round hole." —RNS

This article was edited on May 14, 2013.